International Mathematical Forum, 2, 2007, no. 66, 3293 - 3301

A Construction of Lower-Potent Quasi-Antiorders in Semigroup with Apartness

Daniel Abraham Romano

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Banja Luka University 2, Mladen Stojanovich Street, 78000 Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina bato49@hotmail.com

Abstract

In the present paper, we describe a construction of lower-potent positive quasi-antiorder in semigroup with apartness.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 03F65; Secondary: 20M35, 20M99

Keywords: Constructive mathematics, coequality, anti-congruence, antiorder, quasi-antiorder, positive quasi-antiorder, lower-potent quasi-antiorder

1 Preliminaries and Introduction

This investigation, in Bishop's constructive mathematics in sense of well-known books [1, 2], [5] and Romano's papers [7 - 10], is continuation of forthcoming Crvenkovic and Romano's paper [3], and Romano's papers [11, 12]. Bishop's constructive mathematics is develop on Constructive Logic (or Intuitionistic Logic ([15])) - logic without the Law of Excluded Middle $P \vee \neg P$. Let us note that in Constructive Logic the 'Double negation Law',

$$P \iff \neg \neg P$$

does not hold, but the following implication

$$P \Longrightarrow \neg \neg P$$

holds even in Minimal Logic.

Let $(S, =, \neq)$ be a set. The relation \neq is a binary relation on S, which satisfies the following properties:

$$\neg(x \neq x),$$

$$x \neq y \Longrightarrow y \neq x,$$
$$x \neq z \Longrightarrow x \neq y \lor y \neq z,$$
$$x \neq y \land y = z \Longrightarrow x \neq z.$$

Following Heyting, it called *apartness*. Let Y be a subset of S and $x \in S$. Following Bridges, by $x \bowtie Y$ we denote $(\forall y \in Y)(y \neq x)$ and by Y^C we denote subset $\{x \in S : x \bowtie Y\}$ - the strong compliment of Y in S. The subset Y of S is a *strongly extensional* ([13]) in S if and only if $y \in Y \Longrightarrow y \neq x \lor x \in Y$.

A relation q on S is a *coequality* relation on S if and only if it is consistent, symmetric and cotransitive ([9]). Let $(S, =, \neq, \cdot)$ be a semigroup with apartness. A relation τ on S is a *quasi-antiorder* ([10.11]) on S if

$$\tau \subseteq \neq,$$
$$(\forall x, y, z \in S)((x, z) \in \tau \Longrightarrow (x, y) \in \tau \lor (y, z) \in \tau)$$

It τ is a quasi-antiorder on S compatible with the semigroup operation in S, then ([11]) the relation $q = \tau \cup \tau^{-1}$ is an anticongruence on S. Firstly, the relation $q^C = \{(x, y) \in S \times S : (x, y) \bowtie q\}$ is a congruence on S compatible with q, in the following sense $q \circ q^C \subseteq q$ and $q^C \circ q \subseteq q$ ([9], Theorem 1). We can construct the semigroup $S/(q^C, q) = \{aq^C : a \in S\}$ ([9], Theorem 2) with $aq^C = bq^C \iff (a, b) \bowtie q, aq^C \neq bq^C \iff (a, b) \in q, aq^C \cdot bq^C = (ab)q^C$.

We can also construct the semigroup $S/q = \{aq : a \in S\}$ ([9], Theorem 3) with

$$aq = b \iff (a, b) \bowtie q, aq \neq b \iff (a, b) \in q, aq \cdot bq = (ab)q$$

It is easily to establish the isomorphism $S/(q^C, q) \cong S/q$.

A subset A of a semigroup S is consistent if for a, b of $S \ ab \in A$ implies $a \in A$ and $b \in A$. it is easily to check if A is a consistent subset of S, then A^C is a subsemigroup of S. Opposite assertion, "If T is a subsemigroup of S, then T^C is a consistent subset of S." not holds in general. A consistent subsemigroup F of S will called a *filter* of S. In that case, the subset F^C is a completely prime ideal of S. The opposite assertion "If J is a completely prime ideal of S." not holds in general.

In the Classical Semigroup Theory concept of positive quasi-order has been introduced by B.M.Schein. After that, positive quasi-orders have been studied from different points of view by many authors, mainly by T.Tamura [13-14], M.S.Putcha [6], and S.Bogdanovic and M.Ciric [4]. Quasi-antiorder relation in semigroups with apartness the first time defined by this author in his one earlier paper in 1996. Further investigation on basic properties of quasi-antiorder relations on sets and semigroups with apartness the author has did in his paper [10] and in forthcoming papers [11, 12]. Positive quasi-antiorders studied by Crvenkovic and Romano in their forthcoming paper [3]. For undefined notions and notations we refere tto books [1-2, 5] and to papers [7 - 10].

In this paper we study a constructive aspect of construction of positive quasi-antiorders of semigroups with apartness.

2 Positive Quasi-antiorders

By a quasi-antiorder we mean a consistent cotransitive relation on a set. For a quasi-antiorder σ on a semigroup S we say that it is *compatible* with the semigroup operation if and only if

$$(\forall a, b, x \in S)(((ax, bx) \in \sigma \Longrightarrow (a, b) \in \sigma) \land ((xa, xb) \in \sigma \Longrightarrow (a, b) \in \sigma)).$$

A relation τ on a semigroup S is called *positive* if and only if

$$(\forall a, b \in S)((a, ab) \bowtie \tau \land (b, ab) \bowtie \tau),$$

and it is called *lower-potent* if

 $(a^n, a) \bowtie \tau$, for any $a \in S$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Clearly, if τ is a quasi-antiorder compatible with the semigroup operation, then it is lower-potent if and only if $(a^2, a) \bowtie \tau$, for all $a \in S$. Indeed: Suppose that $(a^2, a) \bowtie \tau$ for any $a \in S$ holds and suppose that $(a^k, a) \bowtie \tau$, for any $a \in S$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$, and let (u, v) be an arbitrary element of τ . Then:

$$\begin{array}{l} (u,v) \in tau \Longrightarrow (u,a^{k+1}) \in \tau \lor (a^{k+1},a^2) \in \tau \lor (a^2,a) \in \tau \lor (a,v) \in \tau \\ \Longrightarrow u \neq a^{k+1} \lor (a^k,a) \in \tau \lor (a^2,a) \in \tau a \neq v \\ \Longrightarrow (a^{k+1},a) \neq (u,v) \in \tau. \end{array}$$

So, by induction, we have that the formula $(a^n, a) \bowtie \tau$ holds for any $a \in S$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the following we will describe a construction of maximal positive quasiantiorder relation on a semigroup S. Let a and b be elements of S. Then, by Theorem 6 in [9], the set $C_{(a)} = \{x \in S : x \bowtie SaS\}$ is a consistent subset of S such that:

(i)
$$a \bowtie C_{(a)}$$
;
(ii) $C_{(a)} \neq \emptyset \Longrightarrow 1 \in C_{(a)}$;
(iii) let a be an invertible element of S . Then $C_{(a)} = \emptyset$;
(iv) $(\forall x, y \in S)(C_{(a)} \subseteq C_{(xay)})$;
(v) $C_{(a)} \cup C_{(b)} \subseteq C_{ab}$.

The consistent subset $C_{(a)}$ is called a *principal* consistent subset of S generated by a. We introduce relation f on S, defined by $(a, b) \in f$ if and only if $b \in C_{(a)}$. The relation f has the following properties ([9], Theorem 7):

- (a) f is a consistent relation on S;
- (b) $(a,b) \in f \Longrightarrow (\forall x, y \in S)((xay,b) \in f);$

(c) $(a,b) \in f \Longrightarrow (\forall n \in \mathbf{N})((a^n,b) \in f);$ (d) $(\forall x, y \in S)((a,xby) \in f \Longrightarrow (a,b) \in f);$ (e) $(\forall x, y \in S) \neg ((a,xay) \in f).$

For an element a of a semigroup S and for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ we introduce the following notations

$$A_n(a) = \{x \in S : (a, x) \in^n f\},\$$

$$A(a) = \{x \in S : (a, x) \in c(f)\}\$$

$$B_n(a) = \{y \in S : (y, a) \in^n f\},\$$

$$B(a) = \{y \in S : (y, a) \in c(f)\}.\$$

In the following two lemmas we will present some basic characteristic of these sets.

Lema 2.1 Let a and b be elements of a semigroup S. Then:

(1) The set $A(a) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the maximal strongly extensional consistent subset of S such that $a \bowtie A(a)$.

(2) $A(a) \cup A(b) \subseteq A(ab)$.

Proof immediately follows from Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 of the paper [10]. \Box

Symmetrically, we have:

Lemma 2.2 Let a and b be elements of a semigroup S. Then:

(1) The set $B(a) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n(a)$ is the maximal strongly extensional ideal of S such that $a \bowtie B(a)$

(2) $B(ab) \subseteq B(a) \cap B(b)$.

Proof immediately follows from Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 of the paper [10]. \Box

In the next theorem we give a construction of the maximal positive quasiantiorder relation in semigroup S.

Theorem 2.3 The relation c(f) is the maximal positive quasi-antiorder relation on semigroup S.

Proof immediately follows from Lemmas 2.1 - 2.2 and Lemma 3.2 in [3]. \Box

Theorem 2.4 A quasi-anti-order τ on a semigroup S is positive if and only if it is contained in the maximal quasi-antiorder relation c(f) on S.

Proof: It is clear that if τ is a positive quasi-antiorder relation on S, then $\tau \subseteq c(f)$, since c(f) is the maximal positive quasi-antiorder relation on S. Let $\tau \subseteq c(f)$. Then, $(x, xy) \bowtie c(f) \supseteq \tau$ and $(x, yx) \bowtie c(f) \supseteq \tau$ for any x, y of S. So, the quasi-antiorder τ is positive. \Box

Concluding note I: As mentioned in the Theorem 2.4, a quasi-antiorder on a semigroup S is positive if and only if it is contained in the maximal positive quasi-antiorder c(f). So, the family of positive quasi-antiorders on S is a principal ideal of the family Q(S) of all quasi-antiorders on S gneerated by the relation c(f) on S.

Besides, we will describe maximal lower-potent positive quasi-antiorder relation on a semigroup S. Let S be a semigroup with apartness and a, b arbitrary elements of S. As mentioned above in this section, the set $C_{(a)}$ is a consistent subset of S called a principal consistent subset of S generated by the element a. Set $cr(C_{(a)}) = \{x \in S : (\forall n \in \mathbf{N})(x^n \in C_{(a)})\}$ is called a *coradical* of principal consistent subset of S generated by the element a. We introduce a relation s on S, on the following way

$$(a,b) \in s \iff b \in cr(C_{(a)})$$

and we will describe some properties of relations s and c(s)

Lemma 2.5 ([12], Lemma 2.1) The relation c(s) satisfies the following properties :

(1) c(s) is a consistent relation on S;

(2) c(s) is a cotransitive relation ;

(3) $(\forall n \in \mathbf{N})((a, a^n) \bowtie c(s)).$

For an element a of a semigroup S and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we introduce the following notations:

$$A_n(a) = \{x \in S : (a, x) \in^n s\},\$$

$$A(a) = \{x \in S : (a, x) \in c(s)\}\$$

$$B_n(a) = \{x \in S : (x, a) \in^n s\},\$$

$$B(a) = \{x \in S : (x, a) \in c(s)\}.\$$

By the following results we will present some basic characteristics of these sets.

Lemma 2.6 ([12], Theorem 2.3) Let a and b be elements of a semigroup S. Then:

(1) The set $A(a) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n(a)$ is a maximal strongly extensional consistent potent semifilter of S such that $a \bowtie A(a)$.

- (2) $A(a) \cup A(b) \subseteq A(ab);$
- (3) $(\forall n \in \mathbf{N})(A(a) = A(a^n))$

Analogously, we have:

Lema 2.7 ([12], Theorem 2.3) Let a and b be elements of a semigroup S. Then:

(1) The set $B(a) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n(a)$ is the maximal strongly extensional completely potent semiprime ideal of S such that $a \bowtie B(a)$.

(2) $B(ab) \subseteq B(a) \cap B(b);$ (3) $(\forall n \in \mathbf{N})(B(a^n) = B(a)).$

Theorem 2.8 The relation c(s) is the maximal positive quasi-antiorder relation on a semigroup S and the following $(\forall a \in S)(\forall n \in \mathbf{N})((a^n, a) \bowtie c(s))$ holds.

textbf Proof immediately follows from Lemmas 2.6 - 2.7 and lemma 3.2 in [3]. \Box

Theorem 2.8 A positive quasi-antiorder τ on a semigroup S is lowerpotent positive if and only if it is contained in the maximal lower-potent positive quasi-antiorder on S.

Proof: It is clear that if τ is a lover-potent positive quasi-antiorder relation on S, then $\tau \subseteq c(s)$, since c(s) is the maximal lower-potent positive quasiantiorder on S.

Let $\tau \subseteq c(s)$. Then $(x^n, x) \bowtie c(s) \supseteq \tau$ for any x of S and for any natural number n. So, the positive quasi-antiorder τ is lower-potent. \Box

Concluding note II:

(1) it is clear that the family $Q_{lp}(S)$ of lower-potent positive quasi-antiorders on S is completely lattice. Indeed: Let $\{\tau_k\}_{k\in J}$ beb a family of lower-potent positive quasi-antiorders on a semigroup S. Since $(\forall k \in J)(\forall n \in \mathbf{N})(\tau_k \bowtie (a^n, a))$, we have $\bigcup_{k\in J}\tau_k \bowtie (a^n, a)$. besides, $c(\cap_{k\in J}\tau_k)$ is a positive quasiantiorder in S, and the following

$$c(\cap_{k\in J}\tau_k)\subseteq\cap_{k\in J}\tau_k\bowtie(a^n,a)$$

folds for any natural n. So, $Q_{lp}(S)$ is a completely lattice.

(2) As mentioned in the Theorem 2.9, a positive quasi-antiorder on a semigroup S is lower-potent positive quasi-antiorder relation on S if and only if it is contained in the maximal lower-potent positive quasi-antiorder c(s). So, the family $Q_{lp}(S)$ of lower-potent positive quasi-antiorders on S is a principal ideal of $Q_p(S)$ generated by the relation c(s) on S.

3 The Main Results

In the paper [14], Tamura turned a general method for construction of new relations from a given relation. Namely, to any relation ρ on a semigroup S he associated the relation $a(\rho)$ on S defined by $(a,b) \in a(\rho) \iff (\exists n \in \mathbf{N})((a,b^n) \in \rho)$, and the transitive closure of $a(\rho)$. Expect in the Tamura's paper, such constructed relations have been intensively used in a series of papers by M.S.Putcha.

Using this ideal, in this paper for a given relation σ in a semigroup S with apartness we construct the following relation:

$$(a,b) \in p(\sigma) \iff (\forall n \in \mathbf{N})((a,b^n) \in \sigma).$$

A sense of such method is to build a lower-potent quasi-antiorder from a given relation σ . namely, the following assertion can be easily proved:

Theorem 3.1 The maximal lower-potent quasi-antiorder on a semigroup S contained in σ on S equals $cp(\sigma \cap \neq)$.

Proof: It is clear that $cp(\sigma \cap \neq)$ is a consistent and cotrasitive in semigroup S. By [7], $cp(\sigma \cap \neq)$ is the maximal quasi-antiorder relation under $\sigma \cap \neq$. let u, v and a be arbitrary elements of S such that $(u, v) \in cp(\sigma \cap \neq)$. Then:

$$\begin{array}{c} (u,v)\in cp(\sigma\cap\neq)\Longrightarrow (u,a^n)\in cp(\sigma\cap\neq)\vee (a^n,a)\in cp(\sigma\cap\neq)\vee (a,v)\in cp(\sigma\cap\neq)\end{array}$$

for any natural *n*. Thus, for any natural *n*, we have: $(u, v) \in cp(\sigma \cap \neq) \Longrightarrow u \neq a^n \lor (a^n, a) \in cp(\sigma \cap \neq) \lor a \neq$ $\Longrightarrow (u, v) \neq (a^n, a) \lor (\forall m \in \mathbf{N})((a^n, a^m) \in (\sigma \cap \neq))$ $\Longrightarrow (a^n, a) \neq (u, v) \in cp(\sigma \cap \neq).$

Let τ be a positive quasi-antiorder under σ . Then $\tau \subseteq \neq$ and $\tau \subseteq c(\sigma)$. Thus, $\tau \subseteq \sigma \cap \neq$. Suppose that $\tau \subseteq^n (\sigma \cap \neq)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence,

$$\tau \subseteq \tau * \tau \subseteq (\sigma \cap \neq) *^n (\sigma \cap \neq) =^{n+1} (\sigma \cap \neq).$$

So, by induction, we have $\tau \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{n} (\sigma \cap \neq)$. Therefore, the relation $cp(\sigma \cap \neq)$ is the maximal lower-potent quasi-antiorder under $\sigma \cap \neq$. \Box

On the other hand, a good characteristic of this construction is that it supplies positiveness property, so the following theorem can be easily verified:

Theorem 3.2 The maximal lower-potent positive quasi-antiorder on a semigroup S contained in a relation σ on S equals $cp(\sigma \cap f)$

Proof: Let (u, v) ne an arbitrary element of $cp(\sigma \cap f)$ and let a, b be elements of S. Then:

 $(u,v) \in cp(\sigma \cap f) \Longrightarrow (u,a) \in cp(\sigma \cap f) \lor (a,ab) \in cp(\sigma \cap f) \lor (ab,v) \in cp(\sigma \cap f)$

 $\implies u \neq a \lor (a, ab) \in cp(\sigma \cap f) \lor ab \neq v$

 \implies $(a, ab) \neq (u, v) \in cp(\sigma \cap f).$

For $(b, ab) \bowtie cp(\sigma \cap f)$ the proof is analogous. So, $cp(\sigma \cap f)$ is a positive quasi-antiorder under σ .

Let τ be a positive quasi-antiorder under σ . Then, $\tau \subseteq c(f)$ and $\tau \subseteq c(\sigma)$. Thus, $\tau \subseteq \sigma \cap f$. Suppose that $\tau \subseteq^n (\sigma \cap f)$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence,

$$\tau \subseteq \tau * \tau \subseteq (\sigma \cap f) *^n (\sigma \cap f) =^{n+1} (\sigma \cap f).$$

So, by induction, we have $\tau \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^{n} (\sigma \cap f)$. Therefore, the relation $cp(\sigma \cap f)$ is the maximal positive quasi-antiorder under σ . \Box

Therefore, if we start from a consistent and positive relation τ , then withouth serious problems we obtain that $cp(\tau)$ is a positive lower-potent quasiantiorder. But, if we want to obtain that $cp(\tau)$ is compatible with the semigroup operation, then more serious difficulties are arising. Namely, there is a following construction

$$cp(\tau)^{+} = \{(a,b) \in S \times S : (\exists u, v \in S^{1})((uav, ubv) \in cp(\tau))\}$$

-a minimal extension of $cp(\tau)$ - such that it is compatible with the semigroup operation, but with losing of substantial characteristics. So, the problem of compatibility of relation $cp(\tau)$ with the semigroup operation is open.

References

[1] E. Bishop: *Foundation of Constructive Analysis*; McGraw-Hill, New York 1967.

[2] D.S. Bridges and F. Richman: *Varieties of Constructive Mathematics*; London Mathematical Society Notes 97, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1987.

[3] S. Crvenkovi'c and D.A. Romano: On Positive Quasi-antiorders in Semigroups with Apartness, pp. 1-15, (To appear).

[4] S. Bogdanović and M. Ćirić: *Semigroups*; Prosveta, Niš, 1993 (In Serbian).

[5] R. Mines, F. Richman and W. Ruitenburg: A Course of Constructive Algebra; Springer, New York 1988.

[6] M.S. Putcha: *Positive Quasi-order on Semigroups*; Duke Math. J., 40(1073), 857-869.

[7] D.A. Romano: On Construction of Maximal Coequality relation and its Applications; In: Proceedings of 8th international conference on Logic and Computers Sciences "LIRA '97", Novi Sad, September 1-4, 1997, (Editors: R.Tosic and Z. Budimac), Institute of Mathematics, Novi Sad 1997, 225-230.

[8] D.A. Romano: A Left Compatible Coequality Relation on Semigroup with Apartness; Novi Sad J. Math., 29(2), 221-234.

[9] D.A. Romano: Some Relations and Subsets of Semigroup with Apartness Generated by Principal Consistent Subsets; Univ.Beograd, Publ. Elektroteh. Fak. Ser. Math., 13(2002), 7-25.

[10] D.A. Romano: Construction of Classes of the Quasi-antiorder Relation Generated by Principal Consistent Subsets on Semigroup with Apartness; In: Proceedings of 16th Conference on Applied Mathematics; Budva, Monte Negro, May 31 - June 04, 2004 (Editors: N. Krejic and Z.Luzanin), Department of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad 2006, 129-135.

[11] D.A. Romano: A Note on Quasi-antiorder in Semigroup; Novi Sad J. Math., 37(1)(2007), 1-6.

[12] D.A. Romano: Quasi-antiorder on Semigroup Generated by Coradical of Principal Consistent Subsets; 1-9 pp, (Submitted in a journal at 01.02.2007)

[13] T. Tamura: Semilattice Congruences viewed from Quasi-orders; Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 41(1973), 75-79.

[14] T. Tamura: Quasi-orders, Generalized Archimedeanss, Semilattice Decompositions; Math. Nachr. 68(1975), 201-220.

[15] A.S. Troelstra and D. van Dalen: *Constructivism in Mathematics, An Introduction*, Volume II; North-Holland, Amsterdam 1988.

Received: June 23, 2007